
ANNEX IV

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852



2) A sustainability profile that is higher than its benchmark's sustainability profile or a minimum of 51% of assets invested in companies with a sustainability profile in the top half of the benchmark.

UBS (Lux) Equity SICAV

promoted by the

attained.

financial product are

Annual report and audited financial statements as of 31 May 2023

The extent to which the environmental and/ or social characteristics promoted by this financial product were met is stated in the answer to the question "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" of this annex.

The benchmark is a broad market index which does not assess or include constituents according to environmental and/or social characteristics and therefore is not intended to be consistent with the characteristics promoted by the financial product. No ESG reference benchmark has been designated for the purpose of attaining the characteristics promoted by the financial product.

The sustainability profile of the financial product is measured by its benchmark's profile and the corresponding results are calculated at least once a year from the respective monthly profiles.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

Characteristic 1:

- The Weighted Average Carbon Intensity (WACI) was lower than that of its benchmark.
 - Weighted Average Carbon Intensity (WACI) of the financial product: 139.52 tonnes of CO2 per million dollars revenues.
 - Weighted Average Carbon Intensity (WACI) of the benchmark: 336.08 tonnes of CO2 per million dollars revenues.
- No low absolute carbon profile, as a low absolute carbon profile is defined as below 100 tonnes of CO2 emissions per million US dollars of revenues.

Characteristic 2:

- The UBS ESG consensus score of the financial product was higher than that of its benchmark.
 - UBS consensus score of the financial product: 5.83
 - UBS consensus score of the benchmark: 5.37
- 86.60% of assets were invested in issuers with a sustainability profile in the top half of the benchmark.

... and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable. This is the first reference period.

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

Not applicable.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

Not applicable.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anticorruption and antibribery matters.

- ---- How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?
 - Not applicable.
- ---- Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Not applicable.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomyaligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.





The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product during the reference period which is: 31 May 2023.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

Not applicable.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

Largest Investments	Sector	% Net Assets*	Country
Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Co Ltd	Electronics & semiconductors	9.60	Taiwan
Samsung Electronics Co Ltd	Electronics & semiconductors	8.58	Republic of Korea
Reliance Industries Ltd	Chemicals	4.68	India
HDFC Bank Ltd	Banks & credit institutions	4.50	India
Ping An Insurance Group Co of China Ltd	Insurance companies	4.36	China
Bank Central Asia Tbk PT	Banks & credit institutions	4.31	Indonesia
Naspers Ltd	Graphics, publishing, media	3.62	South Africa
Kweichow Moutai Co Ltd	Tobacco & alcohol	3.60	China
Samsung SDI Co Ltd	Electronics & semiconductors	3.23	Republic of Korea
Grupo Financiero Banorte SAB de CV	Banks & credit institutions	3.17	Mexico
MediaTek Inc	Electr appliances & components	3.11	Taiwan
China Mengniu Dairy Co Ltd	Food & soft drinks	2.95	Hong Kong
Tencent Holdings Ltd	Internet, software & IT serv	2.71	China
SK Hynix Inc	Electronics & semiconductors	2.65	Republic of Korea
Eicher Motors Ltd	Vehicles	2.51	India

* Minor differences with "Statement of Investments in Securities" might occur due to rounding in production system



Asset allocation describes the share of

Taxonomy-aligned ac-

- turnover reflecting the share of revenue

from green activities

green investments

made by investee

economy.

companies.

companies, e.g. for a

transition to a green

operational expen-

diture (OpEx) reflect-

ing green operational activities of investee

assets.

a share of:

What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Not applicable.

What was the asset allocation?

investments in specific #1 Aligned with E/S tivities are expressed as Investments #2 Other of investee companies. - capital expenditure (CapEx) showing the

#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category #1 Aligned with E/S characteristics covers:

- The sub-category #1A Sustainable covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category #1B Other E/S characteristics covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Please refer to the section "Structure of the Securities Portfolio" of the relevant subfund of this Annual report to review the breakdown of the economic sectors where the investments were made.



To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

Not applicable.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy¹?



In fossil gas In nuclear energy

X	No
---	----

¹ Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

To comply with the EU Taxonomy, the criteria for **fossil gas** include limitations on emissions and switching to fully renewable power or low-carbon fuels by the end of 2035. For nuclear energy, the criteria include comprehensive safety and waste management rules.


Enabling activities

directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

Transitional activities

are activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and among others have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.



* For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Not applicable.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable. This is the first reference period.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

Not applicable.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

Not applicable.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

Included in "#2 Other" are cash and unrated instruments for the purpose of liquidity and portfolio risk management. Unrated instruments may also include securities for which data needed for the measurement of attainment of environmental or social characteristics is not available.



are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that do not take into account the criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.





What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

During the reference period, the environmental and/ or social characteristics were met by following the investment strategy and applying exclusion criteria as per the sales prospectus. The investment strategies and/ or exclusion criteria are monitored to ensure adherence.



How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

No ESG reference benchmark has been designated for the purpose of determining whether the financial product is aligned with the characteristics that it promotes.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable.

• How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable.

- How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark? Not applicable.
- How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable.

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.