ANNEX IV

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable

investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The EU Taxonomy is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852. establishing a list of environmentally sustainable economic activities. That Regulation does not include a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.



environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained. **Product name:** UBS (Lux) Bond SICAV – Global Short Term Flexible (USD) **Legal entity identifier:** 5493001GWYOZAX60FV90

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective? Yes No X It made sustainable investments It promoted Environmental/ with an environmental objective: Social (E/S) characteristics and % while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of ____% of sustainable in economic activities that investments qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as in economic activities that do environmentally sustainable not qualify as environmentally under the EU Taxonomy sustainable under the EU Taxonomy with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy with a social objective It made sustainable investments X It promoted E/S characteristics, but with a social objective: % did not make any sustainable investments

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

From 12 October 2022 onwards, the following characteristics were promoted by the financial product:

- 1) A sustainability profile that is higher than its benchmark's sustainability profile or a minimum of 51% of assets invested in issuers with sustainability profiles in the top half of the UBS ESG Consensus score scale.
- 2) The percentage of subfund assets invested in sovereign bonds from issuers showing "controversies" on the UBS ESG Risk Dashboard is lower than the percentage in the benchmark.

The extent to which the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product is met is stated in the answer to the question "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" of this annex.

The benchmark is a broad market index which does not assess or include constituents according to environmental and/or social characteristics and therefore is not intended to be consistent with the characteristics promoted by the financial product. No ESG reference benchmark has been designated for the purpose of attaining the characteristics promoted by the financial product.

The sustainability profile of the financial product is measured by its benchmark's profile and the corresponding results are calculated at least once a year from the respective monthly profiles.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

From 12 October 2022 onwards, characteristic 1:

- The UBS ESG consensus score of the financial product was higher than that of its benchmark.
 - UBS consensus score of the financial product: 6.80
 - UBS consensus score of the benchmark: 6.59
- 81.93% of assets were invested in issuers with a sustainability profile in the top half of the benchmark.

From 12 October 2022 onwards, characteristic 2:

- The percentage of subfunds assets invested in sovereign bonds from issuers showing "controversies" on the UBS ESG Risk Dashboard is higher than that of its benchmark.
 - Assets invested in sovereign bonds from issuers showing "controversies" of the financial product: 0.00%
 - Assets invested in sovereign bonds from issuers showing "controversies" of benchmark: 0.00%
- … and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable. This is the first reference period.

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

Not applicable.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

Not applicable.

- ---- How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?
 - Not applicable.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anticorruption and antibribery matters.

---- Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Not applicable.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomyaligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

Not applicable.

Largest Investments	Sector	% Net Assets*	Country
United States Treasury Note/Bond	Countries & Central Government	15.11	United States of America
Italy Buoni Poliennali Del Tesoro	Countries & Central Government	7.38	Italy
United States Treasury Inflation Indexed Bonds	Countries & Central Government	5.41	United States of America
French Republic Government Bond OAT	Countries & Central Government	4.32	France
United Kingdom Gilt	Countries & Central Government	4.23	United Kingdom
Slovenia Government Bond	Countries & Central Government	2.88	Slovenia
New Zealand Government Inflation Linked Bond	Countries & Central Government	2.77	New Zealand
Fannie Mae Pool	Mortgage & funding Institutions	2.25	United States of America
Mexican Bonos	Countries & Central Government	1.80	Mexico
New Zealand Government Bond	Countries & Central Government	1.45	New Zealand
Spain Government Bond	Countries & Central Government	1.36	Spain
Brazil Notas do Tesouro Nacional Serie F	Countries & Central Government	1.21	Brazil
UBS Lux Bond SICAV - Asian High Yield USD	Investment funds & benefit found	1.12	Luxembourg
Kingdom of Belgium Government Bond	Countries & Central Government	1.04	Belgium
Japan Government Ten Year Bond	Countries & Central Government	0.92	Japan

What were the top investments of this financial product?

* Minor differences with "Statement of Investments in Securities" might occur due to rounding in production system

• What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Not applicable.





Asset allocation

describes the share of investments in specific assets.

To comply with the EU Taxonomy, the criteria for **fossil gas** include limitations on emissions and switching to fully renewable power or low-carbon fuels by the end of 2035. For **nuclear energy**, the criteria include comprehensive safety and waste management rules.


Enabling activities

directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

Transitional activities

are activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and among others have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

What was the asset allocation?



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category #1 Aligned with E/S characteristics covers:

- The sub-category #1A Sustainable covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category #1B Other E/S characteristics covers investments aligned with the environmental or
- social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.


In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Please refer to the section "Structure of the Securities Portfolio" of the relevant subfund of this Annual report to review the breakdown of the economic sectors where the investments were made.

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

Not applicable.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy¹?




¹ Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:

- **turnover** reflecting the share of revenue from green activities of investee companies.
- capital expenditure (CapEx) showing the green investments made by investee companies, e.g. for a transition to a green economy.

- operational expenditure (OpEx) reflecting green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.



- * For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures.
 - What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Not applicable.

• How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

Not applicable.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

Not applicable.





investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.





What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

Included in "#2 Other" are cash and unrated instruments for the purpose of liquidity and portfolio risk management. Unrated instruments may also include securities for which data needed for the measurement of attainment of environmental or social characteristics is not available.



What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

During the reference period, the environmental and/or social characteristics were met by following the investment strategy and applying exclusion criteria as per the sales prospectus. The investment strategies and/or exclusion criteria are monitored to ensure adherence.



How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

No ESG reference benchmark has been designated for the purpose of determining whether the financial product is aligned with the characteristics that it promotes.

• How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index? Net evaluately

Not applicable.

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable.

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable.

• How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable.

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.